useful skills and find employment. Bhutanese refugees in Nepal set up small grocery shops, barber shops and weaving businesses after participating in skills training programmes. Elsewhere, vocational training schemes were not adapted for people with disabilities or they were actively excluded. In nearly all cases persons with disabilities faced huge social, attitudinal and legal barriers in finding employment because of their disability, in addition to their status as refugees and outsiders.

**Participation:**
Nearly all the people with disabilities interviewed during the field research said that they would like to be more involved in community affairs, camp management, programme planning and decision-making processes. However, there were very few opportunities for the formal participation of persons with disabilities. There were some positive examples of refugees and displaced persons with disabilities forming their own organisations and self-help groups, for example in the camps in Thailand and Nepal, as well as some positive community awareness-raising initiatives.

In general, the Women’s Refugee Commission found that there was little contact between displaced persons with disabilities and local DPOs. One of the positive outcomes of the research was to build bridges between local DPOs and refugee communities in several countries. In Jordan the involvement of Jordanians with disabilities from a local DPO as researchers in the project exposed them to the challenges faced by the Iraqi refugees and led to the inclusion of Iraqis in some of their projects.

**Supporting practice and influencing policy**
In June 2008, the Women’s Refugee Commission published a comprehensive report outlining the findings of its field research, as well as a resource kit for UN and NGO humanitarian field workers on how to work with and promote the inclusion of persons with disabilities. The resource kit includes practical advice on how to make refugee camps more accessible to persons with disabilities and how to promote their full and equal access to mainstream services and facilities.

Since 2008, the Women’s Refugee Commission has been working to influence both policy and practice to promote the rights of displaced persons with disabilities, for example putting together a guidance document for relief organisations operating in Haiti after the January 2010 earthquake. The guidelines were sent through InterAction to all its members working in Haiti as well as to its Protection and Humanitarian Assistance working groups. They were also sent to the Protection and Education clusters in Haiti and were posted on the One Response website for Haiti coordination. The Women’s Refugee Commission plans to follow up on this with training workshops for service providers in Haiti – a model the organisation hopes to replicate in several other pilot countries. At the policy level, the Women’s Refugee Commission has been active in a coalition of NGOs advocating for a UNHCR ExCom Conclusion on disabilities, which is due to be adopted in 2010.

Rachael Reilly [rachreilly@yahoo.com](mailto:rachreilly@yahoo.com) is a consultant with the Women’s Refugee Commission and was the author of their disabilities report.

Kathleen B Simmons

**The humanitarian relief community needs to collect disability-specific data through rapid needs assessments, registration processes, accessing local knowledge and disability monitoring.**

Current literature suggests that data on disabilities among refugee and IDP communities are often uncollected or unknown by the larger NGOs and UN agencies that are providing the bulk of relief services. Standard procedures such as rapid assessments and registration processes often do not include collection of information specific to the circumstances, needs and presence of refugees and IDPs with disabilities. In cases of armed conflict or natural calamity, this ‘invisibility’ can be fatal.

The 2008 Women’s Refugee Commission’s report on Disabilities among Refugees and Conflict-Affected Populations noted that identifying and collecting data on the number of persons with disabilities and the types and causes of disability have proven to be one of the greatest data challenges in the context of displacement. Addressing the specific needs of persons with disabilities in an emergency context requires that agencies have appropriate tools and training to recognise and record various types of disabilities. While the Sphere Project Handbook recognises persons with disabilities as falling within their ‘vulnerable groups’ category – people who should be considered in all facets of service provision, along with the elderly, children and women – the Handbook does not provide minimum standards and requirements specific to these ‘vulnerable groups’. Due to the diversity of disability, minimum standards of service are needed to guide agencies in qualifying and quantifying their response for refugees and IDPs with disabilities in order to fulfill their most basic rights to protection, health and dignity.

**Data collection**
Data collection processes for emergency interventions and recovery programmes must include:

- disability-specific rapid needs assessments
■ registration processes that are inclusive of persons with disabilities
■ information gathering from local NGOs and community leaders, and from national/local health and/or surveillance systems
■ implementation of disability-monitoring mechanisms.

One of the greatest challenges to data collection for this sector of a displaced population is overcoming the misconception that persons with disabilities require such specific and complex services that agencies should only focus on their needs once the emergency phase is over.

This notion deflects attention from the urgency of collecting such data during the standard data collection processes. Consequently, the data collected during the emergency phase and used to develop the most critical survival services are devoid of any disability-specific information. This inadvertent negligence disregards the survival and protection needs of refugees and IDPs with disabilities and their carers. Literature and case-studies alike note the lack of standardised disability-specific data collection tools and monitoring mechanisms.

The development of a disability-specific rapid assessment tool is essential in order to ensure inclusive emergency interventions. The diversity of disability demands a reference guide for those who are conducting assessments in order to increase accuracy in recording the category and severity of disabilities and to ensure that the assessment accurately measures the needs of individuals with disabilities. Additionally, a set of definitions and indicators accepted by all NGOs and UN agencies would allow for more efficient coordination, information sharing, evaluation, comparison and analysis across data sources and over time. Training for data collectors or interviewers to detect and ask about disability is essential.

The assessment tool should enable data collectors to record:

■ all categories of disability (physical, mental, sensory) and all manifestations of disability within each category
■ category of the disability
■ singular, double or multiple disabilities
■ criteria for identifying a disability
■ the severity of (each identified) disability
■ age and gender of person with disability
■ number of persons with disabilities in a single ‘household’
■ onset of disability (at birth, after birth and prior to displacement, as a result of war/disaster, result of migration) and any necessary anecdotal information
■ specific needs (transportation, assistive device, food ration assistance, water supply assistance, access to universal design latrine, accessible shelter, access to medications, access to specialised medical care, etc)
■ carer situation (none/ temporary/permanent)

The assessment tool will need to include a reference section with definitions of all categories and types of disabilities and severity criteria to ensure high quality and consistent data collection across humanitarian organisations.

One-off and ongoing refugee and IDP registration processes are important operational procedures that must seek to capture the presence of persons with disabilities within the displaced community. In cases where stigma, discrimination or lack of mobility result in making persons with disabilities ‘a difficult-to-reach population’, agencies will need to partner with community leaders, local NGOs and international NGOs with long-term presence in order to facilitate registration processes and help emergency responders to identify and register persons with disabilities. The registration process will not only provide a rough estimate of the number of those displaced with disabilities but will also enhance their visibility and, most importantly, will directly connect refugees and IDPs with disabilities to essential services (including food ration cards, immunisation campaigns, protection initiatives, psychosocial first-aid programmes, etc). It is also important to ensure that people who become disabled over the course of displacement receive the information and services necessary to sustain their lives and livelihoods.

As mentioned, gathering information from local NGOs, local leaders and identified community members who care for persons with disabilities is important if agencies are to understand how it is to be a person with a disability in a particular society and the type of care, opportunities and protection – or lack thereof – that exist there. In situations where persons with disabilities – or persons with particular types of disabilities – are harder to reach, engaging with local leaders and organisations will be even more necessary. Their specialised institutional knowledge, resources and deeper understanding of the needs of persons with disabilities prior to displacement, as well as information on the types and prevalence of disabilities, will be of great importance in planning and implementing interventions and services.

International agencies should support these local organisations and avoid poaching staff or creating parallel services. Instead, establishing partnerships and providing assistance will improve local capacity in an emergency context, improve existing services and create a more sustainable and strengthened support system for persons with disabilities.

Statistics on disabilities from the national and local health system or surveillance systems are another source of data. While the presence and/or capacity of these systems will vary greatly, they can be useful for data collection and for understanding the level of recognition of persons with disabilities within local and national government systems. Due to the impermanence of ‘ability’ in forced migration contexts, it is essential to establish a coordinated system that systematically collects
disability-specific data over the course of displacement. Disability monitoring mechanisms, such as disability surveillance, is a key data collection method that should be developed, implemented and charged with the duties of ongoing and systematic collection, analysis and interpretation of disability-related data. This information could be used to inform humanitarian actors on a way forward for effective disability mainstreaming, implementation and evaluation.\(^3\)

**Conclusion**

While the Women’s Refugee Commission, World Vision and other NGOs, as well as researchers, have more recently begun to map and mainstream the needs of refugees and IDPs with disabilities, organisations such as Handicap International and HelpAge International have long worked in this environment, providing special needs care and building up a wealth of institutional knowledge, capacity and data collection tools. Their expertise should be tapped to improve humanitarian data collection, planning and programme implementation. More importantly, agencies need to take responsibility for including refugees and IDPs with disabilities in rapid needs assessments and registration processes, accessing local knowledge and initiating disability monitoring mechanisms so that they can begin to design emergency interventions and recovery programmes with inclusion in mind.

Kathleen Bernadette Simmons (kathleenb.simmons@gmail.com) has recently completed her Master’s of Public Health in Forced Migration and Health from Columbia University. She previously worked in China with children with disabilities, and has also worked in the disabilities sector in the US.
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**Vulnerability and disability in Darfur**

Maria Kett and Jean-François Trani

The difficulties faced by persons with disabilities throughout the displacement process contribute to their increased vulnerability.

During focus group discussions with women in IDP camps in Western Darfur – as part of a larger research project on vulnerability\(^2\) – several women highlighted the increased difficulties persons with disabilities faced throughout the displacement process, beginning with their initial flight from their villages. For those with disabilities, their journeys had taken on average almost twice as long as other, non-disabled villagers, putting them at greater risk of further attack and insecurity along the route to safety. This was largely due to mobility or transportation difficulties. For example, one of the disabled women interviewed had to flee from her village with her husband, also disabled, and their three children, taking as many belongings as they could carry; however, they had to take turns to share their one mobility tricycle between them, thus significantly delaying their journey. Another elderly woman told of how, because of difficulties with walking, her flight from the Janjaweed had taken more than five days, rather than the one or two days it had taken her fellow villagers, and she had to hide many times along the way for fear of further attacks.

None of the women interviewed mentioned receiving any assistance from neighbours or fellow villagers during their flight, though they did sometimes receive help once they were in the camps. Some of the help, such as collecting water and firewood, was undertaken by younger family members to assist those who could not collect the firewood themselves, thus putting these family members at an increased risk of attacks outside the camp. Some households set up food distribution mechanisms whereby one representative gathers vouchers from a series of households and collects all their supplies which are then divided up. These are helpful to some degree but only in the case of those registered with food distribution programmes, usually in camps, and it depends on the goodwill of friends and neighbours to ensure the full food ration is handed over. In many instances this goodwill is not forthcoming.

One small group of women with disabilities ended up, in part because of their particularly destitute status, in what can loosely be termed a ‘segregated’ camp, alongside other extremely vulnerable people, including older adults and persons with leprosy. However, they were not included in any of the formal registration programmes and thus were excluded from programmes that specifically target ‘extremely vulnerable individuals’ (E Влад), despite being clearly in need of extra support.

The situation for most of the adults and children with disabilities in Darfur is especially challenging. In general, the attitude of non-disabled Darfurians to adults and children with disabilities is that of charity, based on religious beliefs. Prior to the conflict, adults and children with disabilities were frequently beneficiaries of zakat, the Islamic system of giving to those most in need. However, since the conflict and the large influx of humanitarian aid, the zakat system has largely fallen into disuse, leaving many people with disabilities in a vulnerable and precarious situation, unable to call upon traditional means of support and unable to access the new, limited systems of support that were supposed to be available in the camps but were often missing or fragmented.

In Darfur, for most of the displaced persons with disabilities, there is a chronic need for livelihoods, food and welfare support. For many persons with disabilities, their main source of income comes from...